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INTRODUCTION

The attempts to make sense of the built environment are conceptualised within various disciplines also including linguistics and literature. Current theories in literary criticism support different movements in meaning studies. This paper proposes a multiparadigm approach for interpreting and knowing in architecture by employing disparate theoretical perspectives of literary criticism. The research intends to encompass intentionalist, structuralist and post-structuralist paradigms, where the textual meaning is questioned on the basis of the author, the text itself and the reader respectively. The first part elaborates on these major paradigms of literature and then provides extensive review in architectural studies.

The multiparadigm approach was specifically applied in this paper to political ideology (as the meaning) and party headquarters (as the text) through a detailed case study. Headquarters have always had a political identity associated with party ideology, which is more prominent than its function as the main office. As an early example, ‘Palazzo Littorio,’ the new headquarters of the National Fascist Party of the Mussolini period in the 1930s, reflected the idea of the totalitarian regime and radical nationalism in terms of urban design, spatial features, architectural programme and even the building material used (Marcello, 2007). Oscar Niemeyer designed for the Communist Party in Paris in the 1970s. The Marxist architect deemed his building as “the house of the worker” and “a sign of the socialist society,” which had a sinuous sculptural shape presenting a dramatic contrast to the centuries-old palaces and to the axial formality of the cityscape (Wise, 2010). Recently built headquarters in Berlin for SPD in 1996 and for CDU in 2000 also have strong links to the identity and ideology of the parties.

The case study here investigated four main political parties and their headquarters in Ankara, the capital city of Turkey. The relation between the buildings and ideologies of the parties has been recently studied, which
represents conceptual readings by researchers (Gürallar and Boyacıoğlu, 2008; Demirkol, 2008; Yılmaz, 2009). However, this paper discusses the relation through a multi-faceted reading based on author, text and reader. Accordingly, ideology embedded in headquarters was illustrated as a reality formed by the architect or people from a singularist to multiplist position in meaning creation, or within the linguistic structure of the building. The results showed different forms of ideological meaning at each level, which are parallel with the theoretical grounds of the methods used.

Even though this research is limited to ideology and party headquarters through a single case study, it aims to draw a wide theoretical framework and multi valued logic of inquiry for meaning studies in architecture, thereby increasing awareness of the importance of methodology in architectural interpretation.

MULTIPARADIGM APPROACH

For a multiparadigm approach, intentionalism, structuralism and poststructuralist reader-response criticism are adopted from the literary theory in order to reveal the meaning in an architectural work.

In early tradition, literary theory supports the idea that an author’s intent is essential to a proper understanding. Intentionalism, having roots in romanticism, puts forth that the work of art possesses a meaning that the artist himself only truly knows. The work of art becomes a bridge to the mind of the artist; thereby finding out what it means requires finding out what the creator meant originally (Dutton, 1987). According to Hirsch (1967, 1976), who is the pioneer theorist of intentionalism, there might be many interpretations of a text, but the construction of textual meaning depends on the objective interpretation of the author; which is the permanent and determinate meaning. Without the notion of authorial intent as a guide; and having a standard of interpretive correctness, the criticism will take on a cognitive status due to the various subjective and competing interpretations of the work (of literature or of art). Although the fundamental role of the author is still defended (including recently Knapp and Michaels, 1992; Irwin, 1999; Carroll, 2001), to judge a work by assuming the intent or purpose of the author is described as an “intentional fallacy” (Wimsatt and Beardsley, 1954). Actual intentionalism is rejected since being a tradition that inappropriately seeks evidence of the work’s meaning outside the work itself (Irvin, 2006). This builds the road for formalist movement; new criticism and the broad impact of structuralism.

Structuralist linguistics is not concerned with the particular and unique thoughts of the author in the creation of the text, nor how it appears to the reader, rather it describes a language system both author and reader comply with to make meaningful communication possible. Saussure (1986), the founder of semiology, defines ‘sign’ as the relationship established between the signifier and the signified, and also draws its horizontal and vertical axes as syntagms and paradigms. A sign may refer to other concepts, besides denoting its real object (literal meaning), which are called as connotations (Barthes, 1999). Fiske (1990) demonstrates metaphor and metonymy as the methods of creating intentional meanings. Peirce (1931-1958) draws attention to “semiosis” as the sign creation and interpretation processes and cites the trilogy of icon, index and symbol which would emerge from the interrelation between the sign and its object. Built upon Peirce’s semiotic diagram, Morris (1955) defines three levels of interrelation
pertaining to a sign; syntactics (the form), semantics (the meaning), and pragmatics (the use). Showing a shift towards the post-structuralist criticism, “social semiotics” (Hodge and Kress, 1988) accepts the power of text in society, and tries to explain meaning-making in a human signifying practice shaped by political interests and ideologies in order to influence individuals and societies. Barthes (2001) postulates that the contextual level of connotation produces and keeps alive the effective ideology of the general public in cultural and political terms.

The last tradition is the reader-response criticism (particularly “reception theory”) which appreciates readers of the text as the creator of the meaning through individual consciousness prior understandings. Porter (2011) stresses that the classical past exists only if the “illusion” that the classical studies and their objects are timeless and eternal is received. Reception theory brings a paradigm shift in literary studies by giving attention to the reader’s role in literature (Eagleton, 2008), different from Romanticism; a preoccupation with the author, and New Criticism (Formalism or Structuralism); an exclusive concern with the text. The notion of reception is grounded in phenomenology and hermeneutics. The subjective perception and consciousness of the reality gained by experiences, which phenomenology studies deal with, put emphasis on the readers’ involvement in meaning production. Iser (1988) states that the text only takes life when it is realized and this realization cannot be independent of the individual disposition of the reader. Reception theory also has hermeneutical insights advocating the inquiry of interpretive narration derived from conventions and personal opinions. Jauss (1982) writes that “a literary work is not an object that stands by itself and offers the same view to each reader in each period”. Also, he assumes that the aesthetic value of a work can be determined by the influence or effect on its audience, referring to the relationship between the texts and “horizons of expectation”. He stresses that the reader approaches a text armed with the knowledge and experience gained from interactions with other texts.

From an architectural perspective, the intentionalist account overplays the creator identity of the architect in the design process, thereby trying to understand the meaning of the work through the lens of the architect by asking what he or she actually meant. Proctor (2006) highlights that to query meaning from interviews and autobiographies, where architects draw a guideline to the reader for interpretation, is inherently privileging an authorial concept of the architect’s role. Architectural historians unlike critics are interested in an architect’s intention since they feel the need to abide by telling the truth. However, the intentions cannot completely determine what constitutes an architectural work as a single accurate interpretation (Goodman, 1988). One reason depends on the nature of practice in that the architectural work is collaborative (Proctor, 2006), as well as it being subject to manipulation by other actors such as power holders. Also, the result of a work of architecture may change in the course of time, as The Leaning Tower of Pisa is not intended to have a four-degree slant from the vertical (Fox, 2009). The work of architecture means different things to different groups at different times, which supports the reception based interpretation.

The structuralist approach and semiotics is very much appreciated to reach meaning in architecture with an attempt to create a ‘design language,’ and understand works within this language. Eco (2003) adopts an approach keeping the frame of the signifier and the signified, and postulates that
an architectural object has a definite; particular signified which is “the potential of the function” of that object. The action attributed to the object refers to its denotation, whereas connotations give social, cultural and ideological clues about this action, such as the quality of occupation inside. Similarly Jencks (1980) defines the architectural sign as a twofold entity having the planes of expression (signifier) and concept (signified). The concept includes architectural ideas, aesthetic meanings, social and religious beliefs, ideologies, functions, way of life and so forth. Based upon the relations of icon, symbol and index, Norberg-Schulz (1965) and Preziosi (1979) interpret the semantic relations that pertain to an architectural sign. Also Jencks (1981) emphasizes metaphor in architecture through a physical analogy, as people look at buildings with the affinity they have for another object. In sum, structuralists assert that since language exists in objective patterns the works are common to all humans. So, it becomes possible to interpret buildings when we discover how its composition demonstrates the structural principles (Tyson, 2006), including the assumption of the creators’ intentions and people’s experiences that emerge in the same language system.

As the last approach, reader response theories encourage people (including everyone in a community) to go beyond the meaning dictated by the architect (and the building) to discover their own true meaning of the architectural work. The origin of reception in architecture comes from the movements in “art” that is “no longer lives in and for itself but for its connections to the outer world” (Kemp, 1998), which presents the space as a perceived, experienced and lived reality. In a similar way that the gaps and blanks in the text and the idea of its completeness in reception theory, inviting the reader to gain an active role in the production of meaning, a design has no real existence until it is lived. Siu (2003) states that a design is redefined by the users in ways that may be different from those of designers, planners, or policy makers. By taking the audience into account, the reception theory incorporates the aesthetics of daily life and the cultural views of a society in the architectural design through a participatory process (Robinson, 2004). Additionally, reception theory promotes a non-specialist evaluation of architectural work, which is conventionally addressed in its own discipline and practice.

CASE STUDY

Ideologies and policies

Established in 2001, AKP (The Justice and Development Party) came to power in the 2002 general election for the first time and still constitutes the majority in parliament as the current government. The Party puts forth a lifestyle which embraces the moral values of civil society and can be included in Islamic politics. Party policy is based on evolutionary and transformational principles and shies away from revolutionary visions (Ayhan, 2007). It has a culturally conservative, patriarchal, religious stance and its public image is one of a party that adheres to the past and traditions.

CHP (The Republican People’s Party), the oldest political party in Turkey, was founded by Kemal Atatürk at the time of the Turkish War of Independence. CHP established a new government in 1923 and carried out many reforms. The party has a social democratic and revolutionary identity within the frame of Kemalist ideology. CHP is positioned on the left in the
ideological spectrum, pursuing a policy which embraces and stands for concepts such as national unity, Turkish identity, secularity and social state of law (Önkaş, 2006).

Dating back to 1969, MHP’s (The Nationalist Movement Party) main ideology can be expressed as “ülkücülük” (idealism), nationalism and an integration of Turkishness and Islam. Within its patriarchal structure, MHP stays on the right-wing nationalist end of the political spectrum (Çınar and Arıkan, 2002). The party’s nationalist principle means commitment to history, origins and traditions and is supported by powerful symbols such as the party’s logo akin to the flag of the Ottoman Caliphate.

Founded in 1983, ANAP’s (The Motherland Party) ideological approach, at that time, centred on a policy that combined nationalist, conservative, liberal and democratic tendencies under the name of political reconciliation. In its eight year ruling period it pursued a policy which strengthened the central authority and supported Turkish-Islamic synthesis (Belhan, 2005). The party gradually lost power after 1991 and was left outside the parliament in the 2002 election and in other successive elections.

The Headquarters

Opened in 2007, the AKP Headquarters (Figure 1), with the simplicity of its geometric form, is a solid prism of rectangles. The only mass movement is on the top floor which is designed for the sole use of the president. The building is symmetric in layout. It has a massive-appearing; a grandeur of scale with monumental gates, of which especially the protocol entrance is the focal point. In addition, there are some traditional architectural elements such as roof type and material, eaves, domes and octagonal star motif.

Inaugurated in 2006, the CHP Headquarters (Figure 2) has a dynamic expression in its fragmental composition. Offices are placed in a transparent mass rising on a cult base covered with a local material which is for public activities. The whole composite structure terminates with a bending roof slab. The interior design of the building is shaped around the atriums to create a visual integrity. The Central Executive Board (CEB) room in the form of an ellipse plan standing out on the building’s mass is the focus of greatest emphasis within the design.

The MHP Headquarters (Figure 3), built in 2004, has an extraordinary design where various disparate masses are joining together. The office blocks define the main mass and the borders of an atrium. Two concrete shafts (the main circulation) rise from the void and a compressed concave cup (a cafeteria and heliport) sit on them. The building’s entrance is reminiscent of Seljuk portals, where also the replicas of Orkhon script are placed. The party leader’s section, which strongly refers to traditional architecture, is considered as a separate block. There is also a special venue for religious festival (bayram) greetings.

The ANAP headquarters (Figure 4) differs from the other three in terms of time, as it was built in 1989. The building is not as high as the others with a horizontally developed modest outlook in size. It was designed by articulating three masses of different size and heights on a linear schema (the auditorium, office block and entrance mass). The entrance hall was considered especially important in terms of visual, aesthetic and interior
design. Additionally, the CEB room and the balcony of the leader’s office protrude from the mass to take attention.

### Analysis

A multiparadigmatic analysis was conducted by using three different ways of knowing and valuing an architectural work. Table 1 shows the outline of the research by signifying what we intended to find by using different paradigms, and to this end where we looked and by means of what.

Based on intentionalism we examined whether ideology was an important parameter when the meaning was produced by the architect. The design approaches of architects were posed during in-depth and semi-structured interviews (Çekmiş, 2009). Following a structuralist tradition, we concentrated on the ‘text’ by asking how ideology is semantically embedded in architectural work. Through doing this the intention was to uncover the underlying system of language in the design of the headquarters. Based on the reception theory, we targeted the meaning by
considering who would read the text. The buildings were open to public interpretation even those by users and visitors. Thus, we aimed at a mixed readers group gathered from various social and cultural backgrounds, also including party members who work in the headquarters. 210 people in total were selected from an average sample in terms of sex, age, education and occupation. Their subjective understanding and consciousness, which could be associated with party ideology, were obtained through open-ended questionnaires about the headquarters.

Table 1. The multiparadigm approach in case study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paradigms:</th>
<th>Intentionalism</th>
<th>Structuralism</th>
<th>Post-structuralism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criterion of textual meaning:</td>
<td>Author, Architect</td>
<td>Text, Building</td>
<td>Reader, Citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic of meaning:</td>
<td>One, the same, permanent meaning</td>
<td>Building’s internal evidence: the components themselves and their connoted meanings</td>
<td>Many, different, subjective meanings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The aim: in terms of ideological meaning</td>
<td>“artistic” Context of the building’s genesis</td>
<td>“objective” (thing-in-itself) Intrinsic value of the work - Relationship between building’s ideas and its form</td>
<td>“aesthetic” Cognitive, relative and historical evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research subject:</td>
<td>Design decisions, intents and purposes (before the construction)</td>
<td>Patterns exist within the building; its structural elements and materials</td>
<td>Personal interpretation; opinions and impressions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research instrument:</td>
<td>Interviews Also if available other materials of manifests such as diaries and (auto)biographies</td>
<td>Linguistic analysis of buildings by using semiotic vocabulary; index, icon, symbol, metaphor, metonym, etc.</td>
<td>Questionnaire Enabling active reading (expectation and participation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. The ANAP headquarters (Çekmiş, 2009; Tekeli and Sisa, 1994).
Results and Discussion

From the intentionalist perspective, architects stated how party ideology and identity was involved in the design idea. In the AKP headquarters, the architect wanted to apply Ottoman and Seljuk architecture, also Turkish-Islamic art by means of expressing historical continuity. The characteristic of neoclassical architecture was attributed to the strength of the state and ruling party. A centralist and hierarchic system was also emphasized in the project. In the CHP headquarters, almost all design decisions were derived from the principles of socialism (like equality and democracy through the emphasis on the CEB room). The elements of building composition were represented as the components of nation-state formation. For the architect, the revolutionary and reformist identity materialised as an “avant-garde” architectural design without any historical or traditional reference to conservative ideas. In the MHP headquarters, the architect placed M, H and P office blocks in the form of a spring in a reference to the party’s logo (a 3-crescent red flag). The unusual synthesis of traditional and futuristic styles was remarked as the result of the party principle which maintains their identity (history, culture and folklore) while integrating with the modern world. Respect and loyalty towards presidency also became an important design parameter. The architects of the ANAP building told that discarding the certain ideology of the party they aimed at a headquarters for a corporation acting as a candidate for the state governance, thereby reflecting a certain degree of solemnity and prestige. Besides the purpose of creating a rich interior space, the hexagonal plan of the entrance hall and its high dome made up of reinforced concrete and glass were reminiscent of the party’s honeycomb logo. Additionally, the presidency and senior management were given importance in the mass design. Overall, this part of the analysis showed that the buildings were designed quite according to the principles and policies of the party as well as their identity elements. However, the conceptual links, and the way the ideology materialised was the architects own interpretation. The balance between assigned meaning and architectonic quality would also depend on the creator. For example, the arrangement of the MHP office blocks is not perceived as the architect intended. In addition, users are not happy with the enclosed atrium which is also a result of that stylistic pursuit within the layout design.

Following the structuralist notion, semiotic tools were used to reveal the underlying rules and conventions in the headquarters. The buildings as the main office of the party fulfilled the functional requirements of a certain architectural programme, which indicated denotation. However, we were interested in connotations as the headquarters expressed an implicit and secondary meaning which was associated with the party’s identity and ideology. The connection between headquarters and ideology was expressed by index when the political idea was introduced by space, function and form; like apparently between the CHP building and party’s social democratic identity. The similar architectural emphasis on the centralist corporate structure and presidency could also be regarded as an index. Symbolism was found in the AKP and MHP buildings, where the Ottoman, Seljuk, Turkish and Islamic elements stood for the conservative and traditionalist identity. Those architectural signs bore ideological clues about the values of its referent through an arbitrary and common acceptance. The ANAP and MHP headquarters had icons which represented a structural similarity relation that the architectural signs were in the resemblance of the party’s visual identity elements. The AKP building has a noble and cordial expression as if a state building
Which defines metonym. Metaphors given for the CHP CEB room were; ‘a soldier with a garrison cap,’ ‘a villager with a cap bent to one side’ and ‘an Amazon woman with one breast,’ where soldier, villager and woman were important concepts in the policy of the party. In short, structuralist enquiry interpreted the buildings by using linguistic rules and deterministic schemes; by conceptually re-framing the intentions of creator and also proposing strict guidelines to follow while experiencing and understanding the buildings.

The analysis depending on reader response criticism considers readers’ reactions as vital to interpreting the meaning of the headquarters. Thus, our concern became the beliefs taken towards the headquarters, also emotions evoked by them. The most cited adjectives by participants to identify each building in relation with the other ‘texts’ were represented in Figure 5. In many value groups the CHP and MHP buildings remained in one side such as original, unfamiliar and new whereas the AKP and ANAP buildings were at the other. Even though the AKP headquarters was also built recently, this result is possibly related to the compact and massive design of the building. In contrast, the CHP and MHP buildings were described as more dynamic and contemporary. The MHP headquarters was portrayed as the most complex and overwhelming building due to the gigantic dimensions of the architectural elements despite its fragmented composition. In textual context, the ANAP Headquarters differs from the others, since people are reading the architectural work more than twenty years after it was created. While it has more space in urban memory, it has also been subject to wear and tear in the course of time. This situation proves the argument of the reader response critics saying; the meaning of the text cannot be located in the past (neither in the mind of the creator nor in the constant presence of the work), but fully located within the reading community. Accordingly, while the simple and appropriate scale was an architectural and rather objective judgement, its being familiar, old-fashioned and old was mostly related with the current reading of the building. In addition, the age of the building, also the political predicament of the party may give rise to negative opinions like unappealing and uninviting. Overall, this paradigmatic approach allows us to reach historical, subjective, aesthetic and biased interpretations and the collective opinion of a certain cultural and social group. It is also a comparison of buildings through a parallel reading. People reading demonstrated a different response in terms of ideological meaning in buildings than the architects devised. The relation between ideology and headquarters is relatively weak, indirect and unobtrusive at this interpretation level. People seemed more interested in the architectural features of the buildings such as their scale and form, rather than abstract ideological connections. An ambiguous match can be cited between the notions: modern, original and foreign, and the ideological stance of CHP and MHP in part. The ideological links are occasionally conceptualized through the current political power of the party in a representative manner. For instance, the AKP headquarters were seen as impressive, plain and massive, where the strong and steady image of the ruler party can be accordant with the monumental and classical design of the building.

The resulting ideological interpretations of party headquarters match up with our aims by the three paradigms stated at the beginning; the artistic, objective and aesthetic productions of meaning. We can also further our understanding by deepening the case study analyses. Architects’ other designs and if any of their other works for the party can be evaluated to see...
their styles and approach to architecture. The sign study in semiotics can be transformed into a communication process; the transmission of a message from a sender to a receiver, which is made up of encoding, medium of transmission, decoding and feedback. And also, the readers’ profiles can be classified to investigate whether their answers correlate with their political advocacies or the demographic data of the survey. For example, people would probably reflect their affinity for and prejudice against parties when they review their headquarters.

CONCLUSION

This study examined ideology in the design of four headquarters in Ankara by utilizing three interpretation methods from literary studies; the author, text and reader oriented criticism. These techniques for reading texts supported different philosophical claims about meaning, which was produced by designer; was shaped in linguistic structures; and was a cultural construction of human subjects. Based on their point of view, each interpretation level established different aspects of ideological meaning of political headquarters.

The main contribution of this paper is presenting different perspectives to meaning studies in architecture, which could be applied to many research cases. Intentionalism is an appropriate method to probe unique creation processes of architectural products. The structuralist approach can be used when more objective conclusions or sets of design codes are needed. However, the reader-oriented theories in the post-structuralist tradition is more appreciated and accepted today than the other methods, since it opposes fixed, global and ahistorical readings.
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